

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT SEATTLE

11 ELTON MASON,

12 v.
13 Plaintiff,

14 SEATTLE TUNNEL PARTNERS, et
15 al.,

16 Defendants.

17 CASE NO. C17-186 MJP

18 ORDER ON MOTION FOR
19 RECONSIDERATION

20 The Court, having received and reviewed Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration re:
21 Defendant's Motion for Fees (Dkt. No. 90), rules as follows:

22 IT IS ORDERED that the motion is DENIED.

23 Motions for reconsideration are disfavored in this district and will be denied absent "a
24 showing of manifest error in the prior ruling or a showing of new facts of legal authority which
25 could not have been brought to its attention earlier with reasonable diligence." LR 7(h).

26 Plaintiff's motion adduces neither new facts or legal authority, and does little else than
27 reiterate the points made in his response to Defendant's original motion. The Court did not find
28

1 them persuasive the first time and does not find that they demonstrate the “manifest error”
2 required for reconsideration of the prior order.
3
4

5 The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.
6

7 Dated October 23, 2018.
8



9
10 The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman
11 United States Senior District Court Judge
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24